UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,906	01/30/2004	Seung-Sik Yang	1793.1121	5692
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 07/28/200 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			RILEY, MARCUS T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination	ent under
10/766,906	YANG, SEUNG-	-SIK
	Art Unit	
MARCUS T. RILEY	2625	
	_	

This is in response to the Pre-Appea	Brief Request for Review filed 10 June 2009.
 Improper Request – The freason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not inc	s not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. lude reasons why a review is appropriate. is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains un is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one mont running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal h from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period otice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able.
The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from co	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) MARCUS T. RILEY.	(3) <u>Twyler Haskins</u> .
(2) <u>Dave Moore</u> .	(4)
/MARCUS T. RILEY/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/David K Moore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625